

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,307	07/14/2003	Stephen P. Rukavina	RYLZ 2 00924	6302
7590 01/13/2006			EXAMINER	
Jay F. Moldovanyi, Esq.			TILL, TERRENCE R	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/619,307	RUKAVINA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrence R. Till	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> =	'-					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 7-21 23-26 and 30-33 is/are pendi	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-21,23-26 and 30-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-17 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-21,23-26 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	·					
	cicotion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,307 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 21, 22 (now incorporated into claim 18) and 29 (now incorporated into 25) are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Japanese patent to Ohara. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/619,307

Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 18-21, 25, 26 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slone in view of Japanese patent to Ohara.
- 6. The publication to Slone discloses a vacuum system for a motor vehicle comprising: a receptacle 24 mounted to a motor vehicle; a casing 90 selectively mountable to the receptacle, wherein the receptacle comprises a console mounted to a wall of the vehicle within a passenger compartment of the vehicle, a power cord 122 and wherein the receptacle defines a cavity and wherein the casing 90 is slidably received within the cavity. Slone discloses that the casing is actually a hand held vacuum, but does not go into the details of it. The Japanese patent to Ohara discloses (see figures 1 and 5) a hand held vacuum having a source of suction 56,57 carried by the casing, a dirt container 32 and filter 31, carried by the casing, and a tangential inlet 37 and axial outlet creating a cyclonic swirling action around the filter 31. The filter protrudes into the dirt container, the dirt container is removable from the casing with the filter selectively mounted in the dirt container, the filter having a first end wall (to where reference character 31 points) and a second end wall aligned with the wall of the dirt container at the opening of the dirt container. Ohara also discloses a gasket 33 located adjacent the second end wall of the dirt container. Therefore, because these two hand-held vacuum cleaners were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the vacuum cleaner of Slone for the vacuum cleaner of Ohara as both perform the same function.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,307 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

1. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slone, as modified by Japanese patent to Ohara, as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Zahuranec et al.

2. Slone, as modified by Ohara, does not disclose of the filter comprising a pleated planar material. The patent to Zahuranec et al. discloses a hand-held vacuum that has a pleated planar filter 42. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to substitute the filter of Slone, as modified by Ohara, with a filter comprising a pleated planar material in view of the teaching of Zahuranec et al. in order to expose more surface area of the filter to the dirt-laden airstream. With respect to claim 24, Zahuranec et al. does not disclose the filter is approximately cylindrical in shape. However, It would have been an obvious matter of engineering choice to modify the filter of Zahuranec et al. to be cylindrical, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape or form of a component. A change in shape or form is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-5 and 7-17 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Steiner et al., Maruyama et al. and Japanese patent to Ito et al. all disclose hand held cyclonic-type vacuum cleaners.

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, R. Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrence R. Till Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

trt